



## 30 **Introduction**

31 The production of fermented foods is one of the oldest food processing technologies known to  
32 man. The diversity of the population of India has given rise to a large number of traditional  
33 fermented foods which have been extensively reviewed (Soni and Sandhu 1990, Achaya 1994).  
34 Cereals and legume based foods are a major source of economical dietary energy and nutrients,  
35 worldwide. The use of desirable microorganisms, particularly those of lactic acid bacteria  
36 (LAB), yeasts and fungi have been well documented (Steinkraus 1995). Among several of the  
37 Indian traditional foods, *idli*, a fermented steamed product with a soft and spongy texture is  
38 highly popular and very widely consumed throughout India and is also becoming popular in  
39 other countries. From the nutritional and health status point, *idli* appears to be an ideal human  
40 food for all ages and at all times. *Idli* is a white, fermented (acid leavened), soft, spongy texture  
41 product and steamed cake of rice (*Oryza sativum*) and dehulled black gram dhal (*Phaseolus*  
42 *mungo*), widely popular and consumed is the entire South India. *Idli* fermentation has been the  
43 subject of many research investigations, covering aspects such as methods of preparation,  
44 microbiology and nutritive value (Desikachar et al 1960, Mukerjee et al 1965, Steinkraus et al  
45 1967, Ramakrishnan 1979, Venkatasubbaiah et al 1984, Thyagaraja et al 1992, Agarwal et al  
46 2000, Rati et al 2003, Rati et al 2006). Two significant changes occurring in *idli* fermentation are  
47 leavening and acidification of the batter (Jama and Varadaraj 1999).

48 A starter culture can be defined as a microbial preparation of large number of cells of at least one  
49 microorganism to be added to a raw material to ferment food by accelerating and steering the  
50 fermentation process. They cause rapid acidification of the raw material through the production  
51 of organic acid, mainly lactic acid. Also, their production of acetic acid, ethanol, aroma  
52 compounds, bacteriocins, exopolysaccharides and several enzymes is of importance. In this way  
53 they enhance shelf life and microbial safety, improve texture, and contribute to the pleasant  
54 sensory profile of the end product (Leroy and De Vuyst 2004). The bacteria identified as a part  
55 of the microflora for *idli* batter fermentation included *Leuconostoc mesenteroides*, *Lactobacillus*  
56 *delbruckii*, *Lb. fermentum*, *Lb. lactis*, *Lb. brevis*, *Streptococcus faecalis* and *Pediococcus*  
57 *cerivisiae*, which are essential for leavening of the batter and for acid production in *idli* and

58 yeasts such as *Geotrichum candidum*, *Torulopsis lolmii*, *T. candida*, *Trichosporon pullulans*,  
59 *Candida*, *C. fragilola*, *C. kefir*, *C. tropicalis*, *Hansenula anomala* and *Rhodotorula graminis*,  
60 which are responsible for pH reduction and may increase the thiamin and riboflavin content  
61 (Agrawal et al 2000, Charan and Kadam 1989, Mukherjee et al 1965, Purushothamen et al 1993,  
62 Reddy et al 1981, Soni and Sandhu 1990, Steinkraus 1995, Thyagaraja et al 1992,  
63 Venkatasubbaiah et al 1984). In a direction towards reducing the fermentation time of *idli* batter  
64 and increasing the shelf life of fermented batter, an improved process for the preparation of  
65 shelf-stable *idli* batter was made available (Varadaraj et al 1999). The purpose and scope of the  
66 present study was to develop a suitable combination of starter cultures for *idli* batter fermentation  
67 and to evaluate the quality of the batter and *idlis* for nutritional, textural and sensory quality.

68

## 69 **Materials and methods**

70 *Media*: For cultivation and maintenance of the lactic acid bacteria, *Lactobacillus* deMan  
71 Rogosa sharpe agar (MRS agar) and for yeasts Sabroud Dextrose (SD) Agar (Hi-media labs,  
72 India) were used. The lactic cultures were incubated at 37°C for 16 h for the cells to reach late  
73 exponential phase in static condition and yeast culture was grown at 30° C for 48 h.

74 *Preparation of idli batter*: *Idli* batter was prepared from the mixture of milled rice (*Oryza sativa*)  
75 and dehulled black gram dhal (*Phaseolus mungo*) in 4:1 ratio. The ingredients (rice and dhal)  
76 were processed using good manufacturing process (Agrawal et al, 2000). Soaking was done in  
77 potable water for 6-8 h. These ingredients were ground to a fine paste under hygienic conditions  
78 (Varadaraj et al 1999) and pH of the fresh *idli* batter was checked. Four different starter cultures  
79 were used to prepare different *idli* batter samples using the following combinations: i)

80 *Pedicoccus pentasaceus* + *Candida versatilis*, ii) *Enterococcus faecium* MTCC 5153 + *Candida*  
81 *versatilis* and iii) *Enterococcus faecium* IB2 + *Candida versatilis* (these combinations of starter  
82 cultures were added to the *idli* batter sample at 1% inoculum (0.5% LAB and 0.5% yeast)). *Idli*  
83 batter prepared using the traditional method (without steaming the ingredients and without  
84 boiling the water used for soaking and grinding) without any externally added culture (natural  
85 fermentation) was used as a control. For the analysis of texture, nutrient composition and sensory

86 profile of *idli* samples, the batter samples prepared individually using 3 different combinations of  
87 cultures and naturally fermented batter were packed in PET-LDPE laminated pouches and stored  
88 at 30 °C.

89

#### 90 *Analysis of idli batter*

91 *Raise in Batter volume:* The *idli* batter prepared using 3 different culture combinations and the  
92 batter without any added culture was poured into 500 ml measuring cylinders, individually, up to  
93 100 ml mark, covered with aluminum foil and were kept at 30 °C for 24 h and observed for raise  
94 in batter volume. The raise in CO<sub>2</sub> production can be correlated to the increase in the batter  
95 volume. The four *idli* batter samples were freshly prepared and packed in three different  
96 packaging materials. The amount of CO<sub>2</sub> produced was analyzed and compared.

97 *Analysis of the batter:* The pH of the *idli* batter samples was checked, individually using a  
98 microprocessor based digital pH meter (Henna Instruments, Singapore). The CO<sub>2</sub> produced  
99 during fermentation of *idli* batter packed in various types of packing material (PET laminated  
100 LDPE pouches, air tight HDPE tins and aluminum foil laminated LDPE pouches) was analyzed  
101 using PBI Dansen analyzer. The microbial load of the batter stored at 30 °C and 10 °C was  
102 checked for 0-7 days. The batter samples were serially diluted with 0.8% saline individually and  
103 appropriate dilutions were pour plated individually using MRS agar (for lactics) and spread  
104 plated on SD agar (for yeasts) plates. The plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h to 48 h. The  
105 colonies were counted using colony counter. The microbial load was estimated until the product  
106 became deteriorated (with visual observation of yeast and molds growth).

107 *Evaluation of idlis prepared using selected starter culture:* The *idlis* made from the *idli* batter  
108 samples prepared using selected combinations of starter cultures and stored for 1 and 5 days  
109 were evaluated for textural, sensory and nutritional parameters. The hardness of the *idlis* was  
110 tested using Instron texture analyzer (Lloyds Instron texturomenter LRSK, UK) through the  
111 shear force measurement. The shear force values in Neuton (N) recorded under the operating  
112 conditions of 100 kg load all, 100 mm/min plunger speed and shear plunger. The sensory  
113 evaluation of *idlis* prepared by the selected combinations of starter cultures was carried out

114 organoleptically. The *idlis* were evaluated by 50 untrained judges in respect of color, flavor,  
115 appearance, taste and overall acceptability, using 5 point Hedonic scale rating (Watts et al 1989).  
116 The average mean of the scores was calculated. Nutrient analysis of the *idlis* prepared was  
117 carried out to compare the nutrients present in it. For estimation of moisture content a method  
118 described by Bernal et al (2004) was used. *Idlis* (10 g) prepared using different batter were  
119 dried to a constant weight in a hot air oven at 100°C and the moisture content was estimated  
120 using the formula:

$$\text{moisture (\%)} = \frac{W_1 - W_2}{W} \times 100$$

123 Where, W was weight of sample, W1 was weight of sample + moisture dish and W2 was weight  
124 of dried sample + moisture dish. To determine the ash content (Bernal et al 2004), 5g of batter  
125 samples were placed in pre-dried crucibles, individually and kept in a muffle furnace at 550 °C  
126 until they were ashed and it gets the clean and white in appearance. After cooling, the ash  
127 content was calculated using the formula as indicated above, where, W was weight of the sample  
128 (g), W1 was weight of the empty of crucible (g) and W2 was weight of the crucible with ash (g).  
129 Thiamine content was estimated after extracting it from the batter in acidic condition. It was  
130 oxidized to thiochrome by alkaline potassium ferricyanide and the intense blue colour  
131 fluorescence exhibited by thiochrome was measured fluorometrically as described by Bernal et al  
132 (2004). The carbohydrate content was determined by calorimetric method using as described by  
133 Ranganna (1977). The energy or calorific value of *idlis* was determined by oxidizing a known  
134 weight of *idlis* in Bomb calorimeter and the heat produced was measured and expressed in terms  
135 of kilo calories (Kcal). Nitrogen content was measured using microkjeldahl method (Bernal et al  
136 2004). Fat soluble material of *idlis* was extracted with ether from an oven dried sample using  
137 soxhlet extraction method (Bernal et al 2004). The iron content of *idlis* was determined by  
138 converting the iron to ferric form using oxidizing agent (hydrogen peroxide) and treated with  
139 potassium thiocyanate to form the red ferric thiocyanate, which was measured colorimetrically at  
140 480 nm.

141 *Statistics*: The data was subjected to statistical analysis by Duncan's multiple range test (DMRT)  
142 (Duncan 1955).

### 143 **Results & Discussion**

144 In this paper we report preparation of *idli* batter using the selected lactic cultures along with  
145 yeast culture. Both the *Enterococcus* cultures used in this study are found to produce anti-listerial  
146 bacteriocin (Sangeetha et al 2007). The *idli* batter samples prepared using three different starter  
147 combinations, along with batter sample prepared by natural fermentation were analyzed for 5  
148 days from the day of preparation. The raise in batter volume, titratable acidity and pH for all the  
149 samples were analyzed. The results are given in Table-1. From the results, it can be seen that the  
150 pH of the samples range between 4.50 and 4.30. The combination of Ef and yeast showed high  
151 acidity compared to other combinations of starter cultures. Titratable acidity of the samples  
152 differed from 3.46 to 2.30%. At the end of fifth day of observation, the combination of starter  
153 cultures namely Ef and yeast and also Ef-IB2 and yeast gave better raise in volume up to 80%  
154 suggesting that it was producing acid (due to lactics) and gas production (mainly by yeast) and  
155 with a titratable acidity of 2.30%. Further the samples were checked for the rate of CO<sub>2</sub>  
156 production. The increase in volume is due to gas production by the yeast during fermentation,  
157 which is a measure of their metabolic activity.

158 *Quantitative analysis of CO<sub>2</sub> production*: The amount of CO<sub>2</sub> produced by different  
159 combination of cultures is indicated in Table-2. From the results, it can be predicted that the rate  
160 of CO<sub>2</sub> production was found to be higher in the samples packed with aluminium foil –LDPE  
161 laminate pouches compared to other two types of packing materials. It might be due to the non-  
162 permeability of aluminium foil to gas. The highest amount of CO<sub>2</sub> production in natural  
163 fermentation was found to be 45.6% in laminated LDPE pouches. Among all the three  
164 combinations of the cultures used, the combination of Ef and yeast resulted in higher CO<sub>2</sub>  
165 production in all the types of packing material and the highest of 32.2% was noticed in the  
166 pouches prepared with laminated LDPE. It might be concluded that the combination of starter  
167 cultures of Ef-IB2+Cv and Ef+Cv were able to produce the high rate of CO<sub>2</sub> due to the metabolic  
168 activity of yeast and its compatibility with added lactic culture. The rate of CO<sub>2</sub> production due

169 to microbial fermentation can directly be correlated to the raise in batter volume. This is due to  
170 the presence of large number of yeast present in batter that produces CO<sub>2</sub>.

171 *Texture analysis:* The fresh *idli* samples prepared individually using 3 different combinations of  
172 cultures and naturally fermented batter were analyzed for their texture using Instron Texture  
173 analyzer. It was done to examine the softness of the *idlis*. The results were expressed in Newton  
174 units. Analysis was performed on the *idlis* prepared using one day and five day old batter (Table  
175 -3). The results revealed that the hardness of the *idlis* increased with increase in storage period  
176 and it was high on 5 day old *idli* batter sample when compared to one day old *idli* batter. The  
177 *idlis* prepared using naturally fermented batter required high unit of Newton to break. The  
178 combinations of Ef-IB2 & yeast and Ef & yeast required less unit of Newton. Hence, the *idlis*  
179 prepared from these combinations were found to be quite soft compared to *idlis* prepared from  
180 the combination of Pp+ yeast culture. The combination of Ef and yeast was found to be the best  
181 in terms of softness of the *idlis*. The hardness of *idlis* was increased due to the increase in acidity.  
182 This reveals that the texture of the *idli* was affected by the change in acidity. The *idlis* were  
183 further analyzed for the nutrient content present in the one day and 5 day old *idli* batter samples.  
184

185 *Nutrient analysis of idlis:* Proteins, essential amino acids/ peptides, essential fatty acids,  
186 vitamins and minerals provide nutritional requirements to satisfy the metabolic needs of the  
187 consumer (Steinkraus 1997). The nutrient analysis of *idli* samples prepared by different  
188 combinations was performed in order to found the nutrient content present in the *idlis* fermented  
189 using different starter cultures. The moisture content, proximate values, iron and thiamine were  
190 analyzed. The observations of the above are presented in Table-4. The observations of the first  
191 and the fifth day samples showed variations in the nutrient content. The nutrient content was  
192 found to be rich in first day sample compared to fifth day sample. In the first day sample, Pp+Cv  
193 combination gave better result for protein and fat, the carbohydrate content higher in Ef-IB2  
194 +Cv. The natural fermented *idlis* had high contents of carbohydrate, protein and iron. In the 5  
195 day old sample, the more carbohydrate content in *idlis* prepared using Pp+Cv, high fat content in  
196 Ef-IB2+Cv and high energy in the *idlis* prepared using EF+Cv combination were noticed. The

197 thiamine was not detected in any combination of *idli*. It may be due to the polished rice used for  
198 the batter preparation. From the results, much difference was not seen among the *idlis* prepared  
199 with these combinations of cultures and the *idlis* prepared using the batter fermented naturally.  
200 One day and 5 day old *idli* batter samples were further analyzed for the organoleptic properties.  
201 Fermentation of *idli* batter has a significant effect on the increase of vitamins B, C and essential  
202 amino acids and in the reduction of anti nutrients (Phytate-50% hydrolyzed), enzyme inhibitors  
203 and flatus sugars (Steinkraus 1983). Several researchers reported that methionine increases from  
204 10.6 to 60.0% during fermentation (Steinkraus et al 1967, Balasubramanian and Vishmanathan  
205 2004). The presence of yeast seems to favour formation of folates (Kariluoto et al 2004) and  
206 thiamine (Ternes and Freund 1998). Formation of acidity can both increase levels of bioactive  
207 compounds (such as total amount of phenolic compounds) or decrease levels of some other  
208 compounds, such as thiamine, ferulic acid, dehydrodimers, tocopherols and tocotrienols  
209 (Liukkonen et al 2003, Boskov Hansen et al 2002, Ternes and Freund 1998).

210  
211 *Microbial profile:* The microbial load of the *idli* batter prepared using selected combinations of  
212 starter cultures was determined starting from day one to day 7 of storage at 30 °C and 10 °C and  
213 the results are provided in Table-5. Increase in the viable count was observed till day 4 and day 6  
214 in the samples maintained at 30 °C and at 10 °C conditions, respectively. After that, the samples  
215 kept at 30 °C were observed to be spoiled, whereas the samples stored at 10 °C the decrease in  
216 the viable count was observed in all the combination of starter cultures. In the study of day one  
217 samples, the microbial load indicated high yeast count related to high CO<sub>2</sub> production, less lactic  
218 count, which gave soft texture to *idlis* as the acidity was less. In the day 5 sample, low yeast  
219 count was observed compared to lactic count, produced high acidity and gave the hardness to the  
220 final product. This confirms the necessity of the cold storage, to extend the shelf life of the batter  
221 beyond one day. Decrease in viable count beyond 4 days of storage might be due to increased  
222 acidic conditions due to the fermentation of the ingredients by the lactic cultures.

223 *Sensory profile:* The food product prepared should be acceptable by consumers. It should meet  
224 the demands of the consumers. The sensory evaluation of the *idlis* made using the batter



225 fermented by different combinations of starter culture and stored for one and five days was  
226 carried out by 50 untrained panel members. The *idlis* prepared by using natural fermented batter  
227 were used as control. The results are presented in Table-6. The results indicated that the overall  
228 acceptability was decreased with increase in storage period of the *idli* batter. In the first day, the  
229 Pp+Cv combination of *idli* had high score for appearance, flavour and taste. However, the  
230 overall acceptability and the colour scores were good for Ef-IB2+Cv combination. On the fifth  
231 day, the appearance, colour, flavour scores were good for Pp+Cv. However, overall acceptability  
232 was good for the *idlis* prepared using Ef+Cv cultures. Over all from the sensory evaluation, the  
233 *idlis* made out of the Ef+Cv combination showed good result than compared to the *idlis*  
234 prepared using the combination of Ef-IB2 +Cv after 5 days storage.

235

### 236 **Conclusions**

237 Although slight variations in the results were seen among the *idlis* of different  
238 combination of cultures, these results are better than that of the *idlis* made using naturally  
239 fermented *idli* batter. At the same time, the starter cultures are found to produce anti-listerial  
240 bacteriocins. This will help to extend the storage period of the batter, besides giving probiotic  
241 benefits to the consumers.

242

### 243 **Acknowledgements**

244 Authors are thankful to Dr. V. Prakash, Director, CFTRI, Mysore, for his interest in the area of  
245 probiotics and for providing the facilities. Authors sincerely thank DST, Govt. of India, for  
246 providing financial help in-terms of Indo-Italian collaborative project (POC2005/7). Authors also  
247 thank Dr. R. Ravi, Scientist, Sensory Science Dept., CFTRI, for statistical analysis of the data.

248

249

### 250 **References**

251 Achaya KT 1994. Indian Foods: A traditional companion. Oxford Univ. Press, Oxford  
252 Agrawal R, Rati ER, Vijayendra SVN, Varadaraj MC, Prasad MS, Nand K 2000. Flavour

- 253 profile of *idli* batter prepared from defined microbial starter cultures. World J  
254 Microbiol Biotechnol 16:687-690
- 255 Balasubramanian S, Viswanathan R 2004. Properties of *idli* batter during its fermentation  
256 time. J Food Processing Preservation 31(2):32-40
- 257 Bernal JS, Grenal RB, Kapoor CM, Garg MK 2004. Practical methods in food analysis.  
258 Agrotech Publishing Academy, Udaipur.
- 259 Boskov-Hansen H, Andersen MF, Nielsen LM, Back-Knudsen KF, Meyer AS,  
260 Christensen LP, Hansen A 2002. Changes in dietary fibre, phenolic acids and  
261 activities of endogenous enzymes during rye bread making. Eur Food  
262 Res Technol 214:33-42
- 263 Charan JK, Kadam SS 1989. Nutritional improvement of cereals by sprouting. Critical  
264 Reviews Food Sci Nutri 28:401-437
- 265 Desikachar HSR, Radhakrishnamurthy R, Ramarao G, Kadkol SB, Srinivasan M,  
266 Subrahmanyam V 1960. Studies on *idli* fermentation. I:Some accompanying  
267 changes in the batter. J Sci Ind Res 196:168-172
- 268 Duncan DB 1955. Multiple range and multiple F test. Biometrics 11:1-42
- 269 Jama YH, Varadaraj MC 1999. Antibacterial effect of plantaricin LP84 on food borne  
270 pathogenic bacteria occurring as contaminants during *idli* batter fermentation.  
271 World J Microbiol Biotechnol 15:27-32
- 272 Kariluoto S, Vahteristo L, Salovaara H, Katina K, Liukkonen KH, Piironen V 2004.  
273 Effect of baking method and fermentation on folate content of rye and wheat  
274 breads. Cereal Chem 81:134-139
- 275 Leroy F, De Vuyst L 2004. Functional lactic acid bacteria starter cultures for the food  
276 Fermentation industry. Trends Food Sci Technol 15:67-78
- 277 Liukkonen KH, Katina K, Withelmsen A, Myllymaki O, Lampi AM, Karimoto S,  
278 Piironen V, Heinonen SM, Nurmi T, Adlercreutz H, Peltoketo A, Pislava JM,  
279 Hetaniemi V, Poutanen K 2003. Process induced changes on bioactive  
280 compounds in whole grain rye. Proceedings of the Nutrition Society 62:117-122

- 281 Mukherjee SK, Albury MN, Pederson CS, Van Veen AG, Steinkraus KH 1965. Role of  
282 *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* in leavening the batter of *idli*, a fermented food of  
283 India. Appl Microbiol 13:227-231
- 284 Purushothaman D, Dhanapal N, Rangaswami G 1993. Indian *idli*, dosa, dhokla, khaman  
285 and related fermentations. In: Steikraus, K.H., Editor, 1993. Handbook of  
286 indigenous fermented foods. Marcel Dekker Inc, New York, p 149-165
- 287 Ramakrishnan CV 1979. Studies on Indian fermented foods. Baroda J Nutr 6:1-57
- 288 Ranganna S 1977. Manual of Analysis of Fruit and Vegetable Product. McGraw-Hill,  
289 New Delhi.
- 290 Rati ER, Vijayendra SVN, Varadaraj MC, Nirmala Devi S, Agrawal R, Nand K, Prasad  
291 MS 2003. Fermentation technologies for smaller communities. J Rural Technol  
292 1(1):28-32
- 293 Rati ER, Vijayendra SVN, Varadaraj MC 2006. Fermentation biotechnology of  
294 traditional foods of the Indian subcontinent. In: Food Biotechnology, 2<sup>nd</sup> Edi,  
295 Shetty K, Paliyath G, Pometto A, Levin RE (eds), CRC Taylor and Francis,  
296 Boca Raton, Florida, USA. p 1759-1794
- 297 Reddy NR, Sathe SK, Pierson MD, Salunkhe DK 1981. *Idli*, an Indian fermented food: a  
298 Review. J Food Quality 5:89-101
- 299 Sangeetha KP, Raghavendra P, Badarinath V, Vijayendra SVN, Halami PM 2007. Development  
300 of defined starter culture for food fermentation. 77<sup>th</sup> Annual symposium of  
301 the National Academy of Sci. India, held at CFTRI, Mysore during 6-8<sup>th</sup> December.
- 302 Soni SK, Sandhu DK 1990. Indian fermented foods: microbiological and biochemical  
303 aspects. Indian J Microbiol 30:135-157
- 304 Steinkraus KH 1983. Handbook of Indigenous Fermented Foods. Marcel Dekker Inc,  
305 New York.
- 306 Steinkraus KH 1995. Handbook of Indigenous fermented foods. Marcel Dekker Inc. New  
307 York p 111-347  
308

- 309 Steinkraus KH 1997. Classification of fermented foods: worldwide review of household  
310 fermentation techniques. Food Control 8:311-317
- 311 Steinkraus, K.H., Van Veck, A.G. and Theireau, D.B. 1967. Studies on *idli*-an Indian  
312 fermented black gram rice food. Food Technol. 21, 110-111.
- 313 Ternes W, Freund W 1998. Effects of different dough making techniques on thiamin  
314 content of bread. Getreide Mew Brot 42:293-297
- 315 Thyagaraja N, Otani H, Hosono A 1992. Studies on microbiological changes during the  
316 fermentation of *idli*. Lebensmittel-Wissenschaft Technol 25:77-79
- 317 Venkatasubbaiah P, Dwarakanath CT, Sreenivasa Murthy V 1984. Microbiological and  
318 physicochemical changes in *idli* batter during fermentation. J Food Sci Technol  
319 22:59-63
- 320 Varadaraj MC, Rati ER, Agarwal R, Vijayendra SVN, Prasad MS, Nand K 1999. An  
321 improved process for the preparation of shelf stable *idli* batter. Indian Patent No.  
322 192486
- 323 Watts BMJ, Limarki GL, Jeffrey LK, Elias LG 1989. Basic Sensory methods for food  
324 evaluation. Int Develop Res Centre, Ottawa, Canada. P 1-16
- 325

Table 1. Effect of starter culture in increasing the batter volume, titratable acidity and pH during *idli* batter fermentation.

Storage Period (day)	Combination of starter cultures*											
	PP +Cv			Ef-IB2+Cv			Ef +Cv			NF		
	RBV	TA	pH	RBV	TA	pH	RBV	TA	pH	RBV	TA	pH
0	100	ND	6.73	100	ND	6.73	100	ND	6.73	100	ND	6.73
1	105±2.3 <sup>a</sup>	0.67±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	4.73±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	115±2.1 <sup>b</sup>	0.90±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	4.78±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	115± 1.8 <sup>b</sup>	1.17±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	4.73±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	30±3.5 <sup>c</sup>	0.96± 0.1 <sup>a</sup>	4.78±0.2 <sup>a</sup>
2	105 ±3.1 <sup>a</sup>	1.73±0.1 <sup>b</sup>	4.62±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	135± 3.2 <sup>b</sup>	1.44±0.1 <sup>b</sup>	4.77±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	135±4.1 <sup>b</sup>	1.66±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	4.70±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	140± 3.1 <sup>c</sup>	1.64±0.1 <sup>b</sup>	4.70±0.1 <sup>a</sup>
3	105±4.8 <sup>a</sup>	2.11± 0.2 <sup>c</sup>	4.58±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	155± 3.5 <sup>d</sup>	2.04±0.2 <sup>c</sup>	4.59±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	155±5.5 <sup>c</sup>	2.31±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	4.51±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	140±2.5 <sup>b</sup>	2.16± 0.2 <sup>c</sup>	4.59±0.3 <sup>a</sup>
4	105±3.7 <sup>a</sup>	2.67±0.1 <sup>d</sup>	4.56±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	180±4.0 <sup>c</sup>	2.67±0.3 <sup>e</sup>	4.53±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	180±6.1 <sup>c</sup>	2.94±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	4.42±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	140±3.2 <sup>b</sup>	2.90±0.1 <sup>d</sup>	4.53±0.2 <sup>a</sup>
5	105±2.5 <sup>a</sup>	3.30±0.2 <sup>e</sup>	4.42±0.4 <sup>a</sup>	180±3.8 <sup>c</sup>	2.36±0.1 <sup>d</sup>	4.44±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	180±5.8 <sup>c</sup>	3.46±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	4.34±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	140±2.8 <sup>b</sup>	3.46±0.2 <sup>c</sup>	4.46±0.1 <sup>a</sup>

\* PP – *Pediococcus pentosaceus*; Ef- *Enterococcus faecium* MTCC 5153; Ef-IB2 –*Ent. faecium* IB<sub>2</sub>; Cv- *Candida versatilis*;

NF- Natural fermentation; RBV- Raise in batter volume; TA- Titratable acidity (% lactic acid); ND- Not determined;

Results are average of 3 independent experiments done in duplicate with Standard deviation. Mean scores, in a row within the treatment, without a common letters are significantly different (P < 0.05) by DMRT.

Table 2. Rate of carbon dioxide production by different starter cultures during

*idli* batter fermentation

Fermentation period (days) / Type of packaging material	Amount of CO <sub>2</sub> produced (%) / Combinations of starter culture*			
	Pp+Cv	Ef-IB2+Cv	Ef + Cv	NF
PET laminated LDPE pouches				
1	14.6±1.5 <sup>b</sup>	15.3±1.4 <sup>b</sup>	16.2±1.5 <sup>b</sup>	12.0±1.4 <sup>a</sup>
2	16.2±1.6 <sup>b</sup>	16.2±1.3 <sup>b</sup>	17.3±1.4 <sup>b</sup>	12.0±1.5 <sup>a</sup>
3	17.1± 1.5 <sup>a</sup>	17.7±1.4 <sup>a</sup>	18.7±1.5 <sup>a</sup>	21.3±1.4 <sup>b</sup>
4	19.3±1.6 <sup>a</sup>	19.9±1.5 <sup>a</sup>	20.2±1.5 <sup>a</sup>	21.3±1.6 <sup>a</sup>
5	20.3± 1.5 <sup>a</sup>	22.2±1.4 <sup>b</sup>	23.8±1.6 <sup>b</sup>	23.0±1.5 <sup>b</sup>
Aluminum Foil laminated LDPE pouches				
1	16.4±1.1 <sup>a</sup>	21.6±1.1 <sup>b</sup>	21.9±1.2 <sup>b</sup>	23.0±1.4 <sup>b</sup>
2	22.7±1.2 <sup>a</sup>	26.4±1.2 <sup>b</sup>	26.9±1.4 <sup>b</sup>	27.3±1.3 <sup>b</sup>
3	25.3±1.2 <sup>a</sup>	30.0±1.4 <sup>b</sup>	29.0±1.5 <sup>b</sup>	35.0±1.4 <sup>c</sup>
4	27.8±1.5 <sup>a</sup>	30.9±1.3 <sup>b</sup>	31.1±1.5 <sup>b</sup>	41.4±1.4 <sup>c</sup>
5	29.3±1.4 <sup>a</sup>	30.2±1.2 <sup>a</sup>	32.2±1.4 <sup>b</sup>	45.6±2.1 <sup>c</sup>
HDPE Plastic ware with air tight lid				
1	12.2±1.1 <sup>b</sup>	9.3±1.0 <sup>a</sup>	9.6±1.1 <sup>a</sup>	12.3±1.1 <sup>b</sup>
2	13.7±1.0 <sup>b</sup>	11.7±1.2 <sup>a</sup>	12.2±1.1 <sup>a</sup>	13.9±1.2 <sup>b</sup>
3	15.9±1.2 <sup>b</sup>	13.9±1.1 <sup>a</sup>	15.8±1.1 <sup>b</sup>	15.2±1.4 <sup>b</sup>
4	16.3±1.1 <sup>ab</sup>	15.4±1.1 <sup>b</sup>	17.3±1.2 <sup>b</sup>	16.8±1.5 <sup>ab</sup>
5	17.0±1.3 <sup>a</sup>	17.2±1.1 <sup>a</sup>	20.8±1.4 <sup>b</sup>	17.9±1.4 <sup>a</sup>

\* as given in Table-1

Results are average of 3 independent experiments done in duplicate with standard deviation. Mean scores, in a row within the treatment, without a common letters are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) by DMRT.

---

 Table 3. Textural Analysis of *idlis* prepared using different starter cultures

Fermentation period (Days)	Combination of starter cultures*			
	Pp+Cv	Ef- IB2+Cv	Ef+Cv	NF
1	6.42±1.1 <sup>as</sup>	5.30±0.9 <sup>a</sup>	5.71±0.5 <sup>a</sup>	9.54±1.1 <sup>b</sup>
5	8.54±1.2 <sup>b</sup>	8.98±1.1 <sup>b</sup>	8.24±0.9 <sup>b</sup>	ND

<sup>S</sup>-Newton, ND - Not determined

\* as given in Table-1

Results are average of 3 independent experiments done in duplicate with standard deviation. Mean scores, in a column within the treatment, without a common letters are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) by DMRT.

---

Table 4. Nutrient analysis of *idlis* prepared using selected culture

Parameter	Combination of starter cultures*						
	Day 1				Day 5		
	Pp+Cv	Ef-IB2+Cv	EF+Cv	NF	Pp+Cv	Ef-IB2+Cv	Ef+Cv
Moisture (g %)	51.92±1.4 <sup>a</sup>	50.94± 2.8 <sup>a</sup>	50.21±2.6 <sup>a</sup>	50.51±1.1	50.24± 3.1 <sup>a</sup>	47.97±2.8 <sup>a</sup>	48.96±3.6 <sup>a</sup>
Energy (Kcal)	42.80±2.5 <sup>b</sup>	43.40±2.7 <sup>b</sup>	43.10±3.2 <sup>b</sup>	43.20±1.0	37.20±2.4 <sup>a</sup>	37.70±1.9 <sup>a</sup>	38.10±3.1 <sup>a</sup>
Carbohydrate (g %)	8.10±1.1 <sup>a</sup>	7.70±1.4 <sup>a</sup>	7.90±0.8 <sup>a</sup>	8.20± 0.9	7.40± 0.9 <sup>a</sup>	6.30±0.8 <sup>a</sup>	6.70±1.5 <sup>a</sup>
Protein (g %)	3.32±0.6 <sup>a</sup>	3.23±0.6 <sup>a</sup>	3.23±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	3.32±0.2	2.97±0.4 <sup>a</sup>	2.88±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	2.97±1.3 <sup>a</sup>
Fat (g %)	0.15±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.14±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.14±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.14±0.1	0.12± 0.2 <sup>a</sup>	0.13±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.13±1.4 <sup>a</sup>
Iron (mg)	1.90±0.1 <sup>b</sup>	2.85±1.2 <sup>b</sup>	2.85±0.1 <sup>b</sup>	3.80±0.3	ND	0.95±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	0.95±0.1 <sup>a</sup>
Ash content (g %)	36.66±2.2 <sup>a</sup>	37.85±2.3 <sup>a</sup>	38.35±2.1 <sup>a</sup>	38.19±2.8 <sup>a</sup>	35.44± 2.1 <sup>a</sup>	36.37±2.5 <sup>a</sup>	37.42±2.4 <sup>a</sup>

\* as given in Table-1; ND-Not detected;

Results are average of 3 independent experiments done in duplicate with standard deviation.

Mean scores, in a row within the treatment, without a common letters are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) by DMRT.



Table 5. Microbial profile of *idli* batter at different storage temperatures

Storage Period (days)	Microbial count (Log <sub>10</sub> cfu/g)											
	PP +Cv*				Ef-IB2+Cv				Ef+Cv			
	10 °C		30 °C		10 °C		30 °C		10 °C		30 °C	
	Lactics	Yeasts	Lactics	Yeasts	Lactics	Yeasts	Lactics	Yeasts	Lactics	Yeasts	Lactics	Yeasts
0	-	-	7.29 <sup>a</sup>	7.33 <sup>a</sup>	-	-	7.06 <sup>a</sup>	7.34 <sup>a</sup>	-	-	7.07 <sup>a</sup>	7.40 <sup>a</sup>
1	8.56±1.1 <sup>a</sup>	8.74±1.2 <sup>a</sup>	9.20±1.4 <sup>b</sup>	9.25±1.4 <sup>b</sup>	8.56±1.2 <sup>a</sup>	8.68±1.2 <sup>a</sup>	9.12±1.3 <sup>b</sup>	9.24±1.2 <sup>b</sup>	8.33±0.9 <sup>a</sup>	8.64±0.6 <sup>a</sup>	9.10±0.1 <sup>b</sup>	9.40±1.3 <sup>b</sup>
2	8.66±0.9 <sup>a</sup>	8.84±1.3 <sup>a</sup>	9.35±1.5 <sup>b</sup>	9.29±1.2 <sup>b</sup>	8.79±1.1 <sup>a</sup>	8.82±1.0 <sup>a</sup>	9.32±0.8 <sup>b</sup>	9.34±1.1 <sup>b</sup>	8.58±0.8 <sup>a</sup>	8.82±0.5 <sup>a</sup>	9.39±1.5 <sup>b</sup>	9.40±1.2 <sup>b</sup>
3	8.79±0.8 <sup>a</sup>	9.00±1.5 <sup>a</sup>	9.54±1.4 <sup>b</sup>	9.42±1.3 <sup>b</sup>	9.00±1.4 <sup>a</sup>	9.09±1.1 <sup>a</sup>	9.51±0.9 <sup>b</sup>	9.48±1.2 <sup>b</sup>	8.63±0.6 <sup>a</sup>	8.93±0.9 <sup>a</sup>	9.56±1.3 <sup>b</sup>	9.49±1.5 <sup>b</sup>
4	ND	ND	10.07±1.6 <sup>c</sup>	10.01±1.4 <sup>c</sup>	ND	ND	10.07±1.2 <sup>c</sup>	10.12±1.6 <sup>c</sup>	ND	ND	9.93±1.6 <sup>b</sup>	9.93±1.7 <sup>b</sup>
5	ND	ND	9.22±1.0 <sup>b</sup>	8.95±1.1 <sup>b</sup>	ND	ND	9.22±1.1 <sup>b</sup>	9.06±1.1 <sup>b</sup>	ND	ND	9.26±1.0 <sup>b</sup>	8.79±1.1 <sup>b</sup>
6	9.09±1.2 <sup>a</sup>	9.06±1.4 <sup>a</sup>	ND	ND	9.04±1.2 <sup>a</sup>	9.11±1.1 <sup>a</sup>	ND	ND	8.99±1.0 <sup>a</sup>	9.05±1.0 <sup>a</sup>	ND	ND
7	8.92±1.1 <sup>a</sup>	8.99±1.1 <sup>a</sup>	ND	ND	9.01±1.3 <sup>a</sup>	9.08±1.0 <sup>a</sup>	ND	ND	8.89±0.9 <sup>a</sup>	8.97±0.6 <sup>a</sup>	ND	ND

\* as given in Table-1; ND- Not Determined; Results are average of 3 independent experiments done in duplicate with standard deviation.

Mean scores, in a row within the treatment, without a common letters are significantly different (P < 0.05) by DMRT.

Table 6. Sensory profile of freshly prepared *idlis* prepared using selected combinations of starter cultures

Parameter	Sensory score / Combination of starter cultures							
	PP + Cv*		Ef-IB2+Cv		Ef+Cv		NF	
	1**	5	1	5	1	5	1	5
Appearance	3.7±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	3.5±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	3.7±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	3.1±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	3.1±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	3.2±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	3.5±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	ND
Colour	3.5±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	2.8±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	4.0±0.4 <sup>b</sup>	2.6±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	3.7±0.4 <sup>a</sup>	2.5±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	3.6±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	ND
Flavour	4.5±0.3 <sup>c</sup>	3.0±0.1 <sup>b</sup>	4.4±0.4 <sup>c</sup>	2.8±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	4.1±0.5 <sup>b</sup>	2.8±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	3.8±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	ND
Taste	4.3±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	2.3±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	4.0±0.3 <sup>a</sup>	2.2±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	4.2±0.4 <sup>a</sup>	2.4±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	3.9±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	ND
Overall acceptability	3.9±0.3 <sup>b</sup>	2.3±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	4.4±0.3 <sup>d</sup>	2.3±0.1 <sup>a</sup>	4.2±0.5 <sup>c</sup>	2.4±0.2 <sup>b</sup>	3.6±0.2 <sup>a</sup>	ND

\* As given in Table-1; \*\* Storage period of batter (in days) at 30°C

Mean scores, in a row within the treatment, without a common letters are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ) by DMRT. (n- 50)